

Perhach, William

From: hsills [hsills@starpower.net]

Sent: Monday, April 05, 2004 11:41 PM

To: Walt Buchholtz; tom altmeyer; teresa gorman; Scholes, Dallas (Enzi);

ryan_jackson@epw.senate.gov; Rayola Dougher; Rae Cronmiller (E-mail); Cooney, Phil;

Paul_Georgia@rpc.senate.gov; Myron Ebell; mthorning@accf.org; Mormino, Brian (EPW); Michael Catanzaro; Mark Washko (APCA) (E-mail); marc meteyer; mandi_mckinley@allard.senate.gov; lou

pugliaresi; Long, Rob; larisa dobriansky; lance.wenger@mail.house.gov;

kitty.s.cochrane@exxonmobil.com; O'Donovan, Kevin M.; ken_flanz@crapo.senate.gov; june whelan; jonathan_tolman@epw.senate.gov; John Shanahan; john peschke; joe stanko;

joby.fortson@mail.house.gov; Jeffrey Marks; janette pablo; George O'Connor;

FPalmer@peabodyenergy.com; dridenour@nationalcenter.org; Debbie_S._Fiddelke@ceq.eop.gov; David F Mitchell; dave McCarthy; Dan Scherder; Coon, Charli; chris_heggem@burns.senate.gov; CHornerLaw@aol.com; celia_Wallace@thomas.senate.gov; Burman, Brenda (Kyl); Brian T. Petty;

bob reinstein; bob rainey; bob meyers; bob ferguson; Blood, Rebecca; barbara bankoff;

andrew_wheeler@epw.senate.gov; randy randol; karen kerrigan; al collins; paul cicio; bill okeefe; tom barney; jerry mcphee; e steadman; jay morgan; sandy bourne; marlo lewis; pat richards; Bob Greco (E-mail); Russell Jones; Mark Whitenton (E-mail); clouds@api.org; Holmes,Connie;

fsmith@consumeralert.org; FRED SMITH; fred singer; david wojick; imurray@cei.org

Subject: Pew Climate Center Soldiers On

If you go to the webside Pewclimate.org, you will find their latest musings on a policy framework - title is below, it is the second iten at the website.

What is so interesting is the lengths the Pew Climate Center will go to create a sense of consensus or progress towards their goals or whatever. In order to gain a consensus among the group of attendees (including the true believers along with a lot of corporate rent-seekers, with AEP in the lead, as always), the group was not required to debate WHETHER OR NOT THERE SHOULD BE MANDATORY CAPS, but rather were just told that the operative assumption was to develop the perfect program IF MANDATORY ACTION IS TAKEN. What a cop out. Eileen Claussen, the Leni Reifenstahl of the climate alarmists, opined that "What is truly significant is that such a diverse group was able to reach consensus on several elements of what a mandatory policy might look like." Good Grief.

Of course, the idea was to start small , phase things in and then "deeper cuts could occur later." The rules of the game were that the group "would not attempt to specificy the level of the absolute cap on CO2 emissions or the date it should go into effect." How convenient.

A Climate Policy Framework: Balancing Policy and Politics (March 2004)
Proceedings from the joint Aspen Institute/Pew Center Conference

Hilary Sills 3812 Woodley Road Washington, DC 20016 TEL: (202) 966-6704 FAX: (202) 249-8532